arXiv:1601.03219v2 [math.GN] 17 Jan 2016

ON REFLEXIVE GROUPS AND FUNCTION SPACES WITH A MACKEY GROUP TOPOLOGY

S. GABRIYELYAN

Abstract. We prove that every reflexive abelian group G such that its dual group $G^\wedge$ has the qc-Glicksberg property is a Mackey group. We show that a reflexive abelian group of finite exponent is a Mackey group. We prove that, for a realcompact space X, the space $C_k(X)$ is barrelled if and only if it is a Mackey group.

1. Introduction

For an abelian topological group (G,τ) we denote by $\widehat{G}$ the group of all continuous characters of (G,τ). If $\widehat{G}$ separates the points of G, the group G is called *maximally almost periodic* (MAP for short). The class $\mathcal{LQC}$ of all locally quasi-convex groups is the most important subclass of the class $\mathcal{MAPA}$ of all MAP abelian groups (all relevant definitions see Section 2).

Two topologies τ and ν on an abelian group G are said to be *compatible* if $\widehat{(G,\tau)} = \widehat{(G,\nu)}$. Being motivated by the classical Mackey–Arens theorem the following notion was introduced and studied in [7]: a locally quasi-convex abelian group (G,τ) is called a *Mackey group in* $\mathcal{LQC}$ or simply a *Mackey group* if for every compatible locally quasi-convex group topology ν on G it follows that $\nu \leq \tau$. Every barrelled locally convex space is a Mackey group by [7]. Since every reflexive locally convex space E is barrelled by [17, Proposition 11.4.2], we obtain that E is a Mackey group. This result motivates the following question:

Question 1.1. *Which reflexive abelian topological groups are Mackey groups?*

In Section 2 we obtain a sufficient condition on a reflexive group to be a Mackey group, see Theorem 2.4. Using Theorem 2.4 we obtain a complete answer to Question 1.1 for reflexive groups of finite exponent.

Theorem 1.2. *Any reflexive abelian group (G,τ) of finite exponent is a Mackey group.*

Note that any metrizable precompact abelian group of finite exponent is a Mackey group, see [5, Example 4.4]. So there are non-reflexive Mackey groups of finite exponent. If G is a metrizable *reflexive* group, then G must be *complete* by [6, Corollary 2]. So G is a Mackey group by [7, Theorem 4.2]. On the other hand, there are reflexive *non*-complete groups G of finite exponent, see [13]. Such groups G are also Mackey by Theorem 1.2.

For a Tychonoff space X let $C_k(X)$ be the space of all continuous real-valued functions on X endowed with the compact-open topology. The relations between locally convex properties of $C_k(X)$ and topological properties of X are illustrated by the following classical results, see [17, Theorem 11.7.5].

Theorem 1.3 (Nachbin–Shirota)**.** *For a Tychonoff space X the space $C_k(X)$ is barrelled if and only if every functionally bounded subset of X has compact closure.*

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 22A10, 22A35, 43A05; Secondary 43A40, 54H11.

Key words and phrases. reflexive group, Mackey group, function space, the qc-Glicksberg property, the Glicksberg property.

This theorem motivates the following question posed in [12]: For which Tychonoff spaces X the space $C_k(X)$ is a Mackey group? In the next theorem we obtain a partial answer to this question.

Theorem 1.4. *For a realcompact space X, the space $C_k(X)$ is barrelled if and only if it is a Mackey group.*

It is well-known (see [17, Theorem 13.6.1]) that a Tychonoff space X is realcompact if and only if the space $C_k(X)$ is bornological. This result and Theorem 1.4 imply

Corollary 1.5. *A bornological space $C_k(X)$ is barrelled if and only if it is a Mackey group.*

We prove Theorem 1.4 in Section 3.

2. Proof of Theorem 1.2

Denote by $\mathbb{S}$ the unit circle group and set $\mathbb{S}_+ := \{z \in \mathbb{S} : \ \mathrm{Re}(z) \geq 0\}$. Let G be an abelian topological group. If $\chi \in \widehat{G}$, it is considered as a homomorphism from G into $\mathbb{S}$. A subset A of G is called *quasi-convex* if for every $g \in G \setminus A$ there exists $\chi \in \widehat{G}$ such that $\chi(x) \notin \mathbb{S}_+$ and $\chi(A) \subseteq \mathbb{S}_+$. If $A \subseteq G$ and $B \subseteq \widehat{G}$ set

$$A^{\triangleright} := \{\chi \in \widehat{G} : \chi(A) \subseteq \mathbb{S}_+\}, \quad B^{\triangleleft} := \{g \in G : \chi(g) \in \mathbb{S}_+ \ \forall \chi \in B\}.$$

Then A is quasi-convex if and only if $A^{\triangleright\triangleleft} = A$. An abelian topological group G is called *locally quasi-convex* if it admits a neighborhood base at the neutral element 0 consisting of quasi-convex sets. The dual group $\widehat{G}$ of G endowed with the compact-open topology is denoted by $G^{\wedge}$. The homomorphism $\alpha_G : G \to G^{\wedge\wedge}$, $g \mapsto (\chi \mapsto \chi(g))$, is called *the canonical homomorphism*. If α_G is a topological isomorphism the group G is called *reflexive*.

If G is a MAP abelian group, we denote by $\sigma(G, \widehat{G})$ the *weak topology* on G, i.e., the smallest group topology on G for which the elements of $\widehat{G}$ are continuous. In the dual group $\widehat{G}$, we denote by $\sigma(\widehat{G}, G)$ the topology of pointwise convergence.

We use the next fact, see Proposition 1.5 of [3].

Fact 2.1. *Let U be a neighborhood of zero of an abelian topological group G. Then $U^{\triangleright}$ is a compact subset of $(\widehat{G}, \sigma(\widehat{G}, G))$.*

Let G be a MAP abelian group and $\mathcal{P}$ a topological property. Denote by $\mathsf{P}(G)$ the set of all subspaces of G with $\mathcal{P}$. Following [19], G *respects* $\mathcal{P}$ if $\mathsf{P}(G) = \mathsf{P}\big(G, \sigma(G, \widehat{G})\big)$. Below we define weak versions of respected properties. For a MAP abelian group G, we denote by $\mathsf{P}_{qc}(G)$ the set of all *quasi-convex* subspaces of G with $\mathcal{P}$.

Definition 2.2. Let (G, τ) be a MAP abelian group. We say that

(i) (G, τ) *respects* $\mathcal{P}_{qc}$ if $\mathsf{P}_{qc}(G) = \mathsf{P}_{qc}\big(G, \sigma(G, \widehat{G})\big)$;
(ii) $(G, \tau)^{\wedge}$ *weak* respects* $\mathcal{P}$ if $\mathsf{P}(G^{\wedge}) = \mathsf{P}\big(\widehat{G}, \sigma(\widehat{G}, G)\big)$;
(iii) $(G, \tau)^{\wedge}$ *weak* respects* $\mathcal{P}_{qc}$ if $\mathsf{P}_{qc}(G^{\wedge}) = \mathsf{P}_{qc}\big(\widehat{G}, \sigma(\widehat{G}, G)\big)$.

In the case $\mathcal{P}$ is the property $\mathcal{C}$ to be a compact subset and a MAP abelian group (G, τ) (or $G^{\wedge}$) (weak*) respects $\mathcal{P}$ or $\mathcal{P}_{qc}$, we shall say that the group G (or $G^{\wedge}$) has the (*weak**) *Glicksberg property* or *qc-Glicksberg property*, respectively. So G has the *Glicksberg property* or *respects compactness* if any weakly compact subset of G is also compact in the original topology τ. By a famous result of Glicksberg, any abelian locally compact group respects compactness. Clearly, if a MAP abelian group (G, τ) has the Glicksberg property, then it also has the *qc*-Glicksberg property, and if $(G, \tau)^{\wedge}$ has the weak* Glicksberg property, then it has also the weak* *qc*-Glicksberg property.

Proposition 2.3. *Let (G, τ) be a locally quasi-convex group such that the canonical homomorphism α_G is continuous. If $(G, \tau)^{\wedge}$ has the weak* qc-Glicksberg property, then (G, τ) is a Mackey group.*

Proof. Let ν be a locally quasi-convex topology on G compatible with τ and let U be a quasi-convex ν-neighborhood of zero. Fact 2.1 implies that the quasi-convex subset $K := U^{\triangleright}$ of $\widehat{G}$ is $\sigma(\widehat{G}, G)$-compact, and hence K is a compact subset of $G^{\wedge}$ by the weak* *qc*-Glicksberg property. Note that, by definition, $K^{\triangleright}$ is a neighborhood of zero in $G^{\wedge\wedge}$. As α_G is continuous, $U = K^{\triangleleft} = \alpha_G^{-1}(K^{\triangleright})$ is a neighborhood of zero in G. Hence $\nu \leq \tau$. Thus (G, τ) is a Mackey group. □

The following theorem gives a partial answer to Question 1.1.

Theorem 2.4. *Let (G, τ) be a reflexive abelian group. If $(G, \tau)^{\wedge}$ has the qc-Glicksberg property (in particular, the Glicksberg property), then (G, τ) is a Mackey group.*

Proof. Since G is a reflexive group, the weak* *qc*-Glicksberg property coincides with the *qc*-Glicksberg property, and Proposition 2.3 applies. □

Remark 2.5. In Theorem 2.4 the reflexivity of G is essential. Indeed, let G be a proper dense subgroup of a compact metrizable abelian group X. Then $G^{\wedge} = X^{\wedge}$ (see [1, 6]), and hence the discrete group $G^{\wedge}$ has the Glicksberg property. Now set $c_0(\mathbb{S}) := \{(z_n) \in \mathbb{S}^{\mathbb{N}} : \ z_n \to 1\}$. Denote by $\mathfrak{p}_0$ the product topology on $c_0(\mathbb{S})$ induced from $\mathbb{S}^{\mathbb{N}}$, and let $\mathfrak{u}_0$ be the uniform topology on $c_0(\mathbb{S})$ induced by the metric $d\big((z_n^1), (z_n^2)\big) = \sup\{|z_n^1 - z_n^2|, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$. Then, by [9, Theorem 1], $\mathfrak{p}_0$ and $\mathfrak{u}_0$ are locally quasi-convex and compatible topologies on $c_0(\mathbb{S})$ such that $\mathfrak{p}_0 < \mathfrak{u}_0$. Thus the group $G := \big(c_0(\mathbb{S}), \mathfrak{p}_0\big)$ is a precompact arc-connected metrizable group such that $G^{\wedge}$ has the Glicksberg property, but G is *not* a Mackey group.

Theorem 2.4 motivates the following question: *For which (reflexive) abelian groups G the dual group $G^{\wedge}$ has the (weak*, weak* qc-, qc-) Glicksberg property*? Below in Propositions 2.6 and 2.9 we give some sufficient conditions on G for which $G^{\wedge}$ has the Glicksberg property.

Recall (see [7]) that a MAP abelian group G is called *g-barrelled* if any $\sigma(\widehat{G}, G)$-compact subset of $\widehat{G}$ is equicontinuous. Every barrelled locally convex space E is a g-barrelled group, but the converse does not hold in general, see [7]. Every locally quasi-convex g-barrelled abelian group G is a Mackey group by Theorem 4.2 of [7].

Proposition 2.6. *If G is a g-barrelled group, then $G^{\wedge}$ has the Glicksberg property.*

Proof. Let K be a $\sigma(\widehat{G}, G^{\wedge\wedge})$-compact subset of $\widehat{G}$. Then K is $\sigma(\widehat{G}, G)$-compact as well, so K is equicontinuous. Hence there is a neighborhood U of zero in G such that $K \subseteq U^{\triangleright}$, see Corollary 1.3 of [7]. The set $U^{\triangleright}$ is a compact subset of $G^{\wedge}$ by Fact 2.1. As K is also a closed subset of $G^{\wedge}$, we obtain that K is compact in $G^{\wedge}$. Thus $G^{\wedge}$ has the Glicksberg property. □

For reflexive groups this proposition can be reversed.

Proposition 2.7. *If G is a reflexive group, then G is g-barrelled if and only if $G^{\wedge}$ has the Glicksberg property.*

Proof. Assume that $G^{\wedge}$ has the Glicksberg property and K is a $\sigma(\widehat{G}, G)$-compact subset of $\widehat{G}$. By the reflexivity of G, K is also $\sigma(\widehat{G}, G^{\wedge\wedge})$-compact. So K is compact in $G^{\wedge}$ by the Glicksberg property. Therefore $K^{\triangleright}$ is a neighborhood of zero in $G^{\wedge\wedge}$. So, by the reflexivity of G, $K^{\triangleleft} = \alpha_G^{-1}(K^{\triangleright})$ is a neighborhood of zero in G. Since $K \subseteq K^{\triangleleft\triangleright}$ we obtain that K is equicontinuous, see Corollary 1.3 of [7]. Thus G is g-barrelled. The converse assertion follows from Proposition 2.6. □

Recall that a topological group X is said to have a *subgroup topology* if it has a base at the identity consisting of subgroups. For the definition and properties of nuclear groups, see [3].

Lemma 2.8. *Let G be an abelian topological group with a subgroup topology. Then G is a locally quasi-convex nuclear group and has the Glicksberg property.*

Proof. By Proposition 2.2 of [2], G embeds into a product of discrete groups. Therefore G is a locally quasi-convex nuclear group by Propositions 7.5 and 7.6 and Theorem 8.5 of [3]. Finally, the group G has the Glicksberg property by [4]. □

To prove Theorem 1.2 we need the following proposition.

Proposition 2.9. *Let (G,τ) be a locally quasi-convex abelian group of finite exponent. Then (G,τ) and hence also $(G,\tau)^\wedge$ have the Glicksberg property.*

Proof. Propositions 2.1 of [2] implies that the topologies of the groups (G,τ) and $(G,\tau)^\wedge$ are subgroup topologies, and Lemma 2.8 applies. □

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Since (G,τ) is locally quasi-convex, Proposition 2.9 implies that $(G,\tau)^\wedge$ has the Glicksberg property. Thus (G,τ) is a Mackey group by Theorem 2.4. □

For Tychonoff spaces X and Y we denote by $C_k(X,Y)$ the space of all continuous functions from X into Y endowed with the compact-open topology. R. Pol and F. Smentek [18] proved that the group $C_k(X,D)$ is reflexive for every finitely generated discrete group D and each zero-dimensional realcompact k-space X. This result and Theorem 1.2 immediately imply

Corollary 2.10. *Let X be a zero-dimensional realcompact k-space and $\mathbb{F}$ be a finite abelian group. Then $C_k(X,\mathbb{F})$ is a Mackey group.*

We end this section with the following two questions. We do not know whether the converse in Theorem 2.4 is true.

Question 2.11. *Let G be a reflexive Mackey group. Does $G^\wedge$ have the qc-Glicksberg property?*

Set $\mathfrak{F}_0(\mathbb{S}) := (c_0(\mathbb{S}), \mathfrak{u}_0)$, see Remark 2.5. Then the group $\mathfrak{F}_0(\mathbb{S})$ is reflexive [9] and does not have the Glicksberg property by [10]. These results motivate the following question.

Question 2.12. *Does $\mathfrak{F}_0(\mathbb{S})$ have the qc-Glicksberg property?*

This question is of importance because the dual group $\mathfrak{F}_0(\mathbb{S})^\wedge$ is the free abelian topological group $A(\mathfrak{s})$ over a convergent sequence $\mathfrak{s}$, see [9]. So the positive answer to this question together with Theorem 2.4 would imply: (1) the group $A(\mathfrak{s})$ is a Mackey group, answering in the affirmative a question posed in [11], and (2) there are locally quasi-convex (even reflexive and Polish) abelian groups with the *qc*-Glicksberg property but without the Glicksberg property. On the other hand, under the assumptions that Question 2.12 has a negative answer and the group $A(\mathfrak{s})$ is Mackey, we obtain a negative answer to Question 2.11.

3. Proof of Theorem 1.4

Let E be a nontrivial locally convex space and denote by E' the topological dual space of E. Clearly, E is also an abelian topological group. Therefore we can consider the group $\widehat{E}$ of all continuous characters of E. The next important result is proved in [16, 20], see also [15, 23.32].

Fact 3.1. *Let E be a locally convex space. Then the mapping $p : E' \to \widehat{E}$, defined by the equality*

$$p(f) = \exp\{2\pi i f\}, \quad \text{for all } f \in E',$$

is a group isomorphism between E' and $\widehat{E}$.

Recall that the dual space of $C_k(X)$ is the space $M_c(X)$ of all Borel measures μ on X with compact support $\mathrm{supp}(\mu)$, see [17, Corollary 7.6.5]. For a point $x \in X$ we denote by δ_x the point measure associated with the linear form $f \mapsto f(x)$.

The next lemma is crucial for our proof of Theorem 1.4.

Lemma 3.2. *Let A be a functionally bounded subset of a Tychonoff space X. If there is a discrete family $\mathcal{U} = \{U_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ of open subsets of X such that $U_n \cap A \neq \emptyset$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $C_k(X)$ is not a Mackey group.*

Proof. For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, take arbitrarily $x_n \in U_n \cap A$ and set $\chi_n := (1/n)\delta_{x_n}$. Since A is functionally bounded, we obtain that $\chi_n \to 0$ in the weak* topology on $M_c(X)$. Denote by $Q : c_0 \to \mathfrak{F}_0(\mathbb{S})$ the quotient map, so $Q\big((x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\big) = \big((q(x_n))_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\big)$, where $q : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{S}$ is defined by $q(x) = \exp\{2\pi i x\}$. Now we can define the linear injective operator $F : C(X) \to C_k(X) \times c_0$ and the monomorphism $F_0 : C(X) \to C_k(X) \times \mathfrak{F}_0(\mathbb{S})$ setting $(\forall f \in C(X))$

$$F(f) := \big(f, R(f)\big), \text{ where } R(f) := \big(\chi_n(f)\big) \in c_0,$$
$$F_0(f) := \big(f, R_0(f)\big), \text{ where } R_0(f) := Q \circ R(f) = \big(\exp\{2\pi i \chi_n(f)\}\big) \in \mathfrak{F}_0(\mathbb{S}).$$

Denote by $\mathcal{T}$ and $\mathcal{T}_0$ the topology on $C(X)$ induced from $C_k(X)\times c_0$ and $C_k(X)\times\mathfrak{F}_0(\mathbb{S})$, respectively. So $\mathcal{T}$ is a locally convex vector topology on $C(X)$ and $\mathcal{T}_0$ is a locally quasi-convex group topogy on $C(X)$ (since the group $\mathfrak{F}_0(\mathbb{S})$ is locally quasi-convex, and a subgroup of a product of locally quasi-convex groups is clearly locally quasi-convex). Denote by τ_k the compact-open topology on $C(X)$. Then, by construction, $\tau_k \le \mathcal{T}_0 \le \mathcal{T}$, so taking into account Fact 3.1 we obtain

$$p\big(M_c(X)\big) \subseteq \big(\widehat{C(X), \mathcal{T}_0}\big) \subseteq p\big((C(X), \mathcal{T})'\big). \tag{3.1}$$

Let us show that the topologies τ_k and $\mathcal{T}_0$ are compatible. By (3.1), it is enough to show that each character of $\big(C(X), \mathcal{T}_0\big)$ belongs to $p\big(M_c(X)\big)$. Fix $\chi \in \big(\widehat{C(X), \mathcal{T}_0}\big)$. Then (3.1) and the Hahn–Banach extension theorem imply that $\chi = p(\eta)$ for some

$$\eta = \big(\nu, (c_n)\big) \in M_c(X) \times \ell_1, \text{ where } \nu \in M_c(X) \text{ and } (c_n) \in \ell_1,$$

and

$$\eta(f) = \nu(f) + \sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}} c_n \chi_n(f), \quad \forall f \in C(X).$$

To prove that $\chi \in p\big(M_c(X)\big)$ it is enough to show that $c_n = 0$ for almost all indices n. Suppose for a contradiction that $|c_n| > 0$ for infinitely many indices n. Take a neighborhood U of zero in $\mathcal{T}_0$ such that (see Fact 3.1)

$$\eta(U) \subseteq \left(-\frac{1}{10}, \frac{1}{10}\right) + \mathbb{Z}. \tag{3.2}$$

We can assume that U has a canonical form

$$U = F_0^{-1}\left(\Big(\{f \in C(X) : f(K) \subset (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)\} \times \big(V^{\mathbb{N}} \cap c_0(\mathbb{S})\big)\Big) \cap F_0\big(C(X)\big)\right),$$

for some compact set $K \subseteq X$, $\varepsilon > 0$ and a neighborhood V of the identity of $\mathbb{S}$. For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, choose a continuous function $g_n : X \to [0, 1]$ such that $g_n(x_n) = 1$ and $g_n(X \setminus U_n) = \{0\}$. So, by the discreteness of $\mathcal{U}$, we obtain

$$\chi_n(g_n) = \frac{1}{n}, \quad \text{and } \chi_m(g_n) = 0 \text{ for every distinct } n, m \in \mathbb{N}\,. \tag{3.3}$$

Let $C = \operatorname{supp}(\nu)$, so C is a compact subset of X. Then the discreteness of the family $\mathcal{U}$ implies that there is $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $U_n \cap (K \cup C) = \emptyset$ for every $n > n_0$. Since $|c_n| > 0$ for infinitely many indices, we can find an index $\alpha > n_0$ such that $0 < |c_\alpha| < 1/100$ (recall that $(c_n) \in \ell_1$). Set

$$h(x) = \left[\frac{1}{4c_\alpha}\right] \cdot \alpha \cdot g_\alpha(x),$$

were $[x]$ is the integral part of a real number x. Then (3.3) implies that

$$\chi_n(h) = 0 \text{ if } n \neq \alpha, \text{ and } \chi_\alpha(h) = \left[\frac{1}{4c_\alpha}\right] \cdot \alpha \cdot \frac{1}{\alpha} = \left[\frac{1}{4c_\alpha}\right] \in \mathbb{Z}. \tag{3.4}$$

Therefore $R_0(h)$ is the identity of $\mathfrak{F}_0(\mathbb{S}_0)$. Since also $h \in \{f \in C(X) : f(K) \subset (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)\}$ we obtain that $h \in U$. Noting that $\nu(h) = 0$ and setting $r_\alpha := \frac{1}{4c_\alpha} - \left[\frac{1}{4c_\alpha}\right]$ (and hence $0 \le r_\alpha < 1$), (3.4) implies

$$\frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{100} < \eta(h) = c_\alpha \chi_\alpha(h) = c_\alpha \left[\frac{1}{4c_\alpha}\right] = c_\alpha \left(\frac{1}{4c_\alpha} - r_\alpha\right) = \frac{1}{4} - c_\alpha r_\alpha < \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{100}.$$

But these inequalities contradict the inclusion (3.2). This contradiction shows that $c_n = 0$ for almost all indices n, and hence $\eta \in M_c(X)$. Thus τ_k and $\mathcal{T}_0$ are compatible.

To complete the proof we have to show that the topology $\mathcal{T}_0$ is strictly finer than τ_k. First we note that $(n/2)g_n \to 0$ in τ_k. Indeed, let K_0 be a compact subset of X and $\varepsilon > 0$. Since the family $\mathcal{U}$ is discrete, there is $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $U_n \cap K_0 = \emptyset$ for every $n > N$. Then $(n/2)g_n \in \{f \in C(X) : f(K_0) \subset (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)\}$ for $n > N$. On the other hand,

$$F_0\big((n/2)g_n\big) = \big((n/2)g_n, (\exp\{2\pi i \chi_k\big((n/2)g_n\big)\})\big) = \big((n/2)g_n, (0, \dots, 0, -1, 0, \dots)\big),$$

where -1 is placed in position n. So $(n/2)g_n \not\to 0$ in $\mathcal{T}_0$. Thus $\mathcal{T}_0$ is strictly finer than τ_k. □

Now we are ready to prove Theorem 1.4.

Proof of Theorem 1.4. Assume that $C_k(X)$ is a Mackey group. Let us show that every functionally bounded subset of X has compact closure. Suppose for a contradiction that there is a closed functionally bounded subset A of X which is not compact. Since A is a closed subset of a realcompact space, there is a continuous real-valued function f on X such that $f|_A$ is unbounded, see [14, Problem 8E.1]. So there exists a discrete sequence of open sets $\mathcal{U} = \{U_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ intersecting A. Therefore $C_k(X)$ is not a Mackey group by Lemma 3.2. This contradiction shows that every functionally bounded subset of X has compact closure. By the Nachbin–Shirota theorem 1.3, the space $C_k(X)$ is barrelled.

Conversely, if $C_k(X)$ is a barrelled locally convex space, then it is a Mackey group by Proposition 5.4 of [7]. □

We do not know whether the assumption to be a realcompact space can be omitted in Theorem 1.4.

Question 3.3. *Let X be a Tychonoff space. Is it true that $C_k(X)$ is barrelled if and only if it a Mackey group?*

References

1. L. Außenhofer, *Contributions to the duality theory of Abelian topological groups and to the theory of nuclear groups*, Dissertation, Tübingen, 1998, Dissertationes Math. (Rozprawy Mat.) **384** (1999), 113p.
2. L. Außenhofer, S. Gabriyelyan, On reflexive group topologies on abelian groups of finite exponent, Arch. Math. **99** (2012), 583–588.
3. W. Banaszczyk, *Additive subgroups of topological vector spaces*, LNM 1466, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York 1991.
4. W. Banaszczyk and E. Martín-Peinador, Weakly pseudocompact subsets of nuclear groups, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **138** (1999), 99–106.
5. F. G. Bonales, F. J. Trigos-Arrieta, R. Vera Mendoza, A Mackey–Arens theorem for topological abelian groups, Bol. Soc. Mat. Mexicana **9** (2003), 79–88.
6. M.J. Chasco, Pontryagin duality for metrizable groups, Arch. Math. **70** (1998) 22-28.
7. M. J. Chasco, E. Martín-Peinador, V. Tarieladze, On Mackey topology for groups, Studia Math. **132** (1999), 257–284.
8. R. Engelking, *General topology*, Panstwowe Wydawnictwo Naukowe, 1985.
9. S. Gabriyelyan, Groups of quasi-invariance and the Pontryagin duality, Topology Appl. **157** (2010), 2786–2802.
10. S. Gabriyelyan, On topological properties of the group of the null sequences valued in an Abelian topological group, available in arXiv: 1306.511.
11. S. Gabriyelyan, On the Mackey topology for abelian topological groups and locally convex spaces, preprint.
12. S. Gabriyelyan, A characterization of barrelledness of $C_p(X)$, available in ArXiv: 1512.00788.

13. J. Galindo, L. Recoder-Núñez, M. Tkachenko, Reflexivity of prodiscrete topological groups, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **384** (2011), 320-330.
14. L. Gillman and M. Jerison, *Rings of continuous functions*, Van Nostrand, New York, 1960.
15. E. Hewitt, K. A. Ross, *Abstract Harmonic Analysis*, Vol. I, 2nd ed. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1979.
16. E. Hewitt, H. Zuckerman, A group-theoretic method in approximation theory, Ann. Math. **52** (1950), 557–567.
17. H. Jarchow, *Locally Convex Spaces*, B.G. Teubner, Stuttgart, 1981.
18. R. Pol, F. Smentek, Note on reflexivity of some spaces of integer-valued functions, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **395** (2012), 251–257.
19. D. Remus and F. J. Trigos-Arrieta, The Bohr topology of Moore groups, Topology Appl. **97** (1999), 85–98.
20. M. F. Smith, The Pontrjagin duality theorem in linear spaces, Ann. Math. **56** (1952), 248–253.

Department of Mathematics, Ben-Gurion University of the Negev, Beer-Sheva, P.O. 653, Israel
E-mail address: saak@math.bgu.ac.il